



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,385	02/01/2002	Jacqui Gates	1076.41118X00	8435

20457 7590 05/05/2005

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 1800
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873

EXAMINER

DAO, MINH D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2682

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/060,385	Applicant(s) GATES, JACQUI	
	Examiner MINH D DAO	Art Unit 2682	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 July 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28, 39, 41-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 21-25, 29-38 and 40 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 27 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 28, 39, 41-43 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only, and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 28, 39, 41-43 have not been further treated on the merits.
2. Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3 of the claim should be changed to:

d) "activating the user interface providing call handling to display"

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boys (US 6,314,094).

Regarding claim 26, Boys teaches a method of controlling a mobile telecommunications device including a memory (see gif. 3, Cach Memory 73) for storing a list of contacts each having a URL address field (col. 8, lines 24-30) for storing an associated URL address therewith and a user interface providing call handling (see fig. 2, connection button 61; and col. 6, lines 25-29), the method comprising the steps of:

- a) accessing the list of contacts stored in the memory (see fig. 6, step 123);
- b) selecting a contact from the list (see fig. 6, step 125);
- c) connecting to the URL by activating the user interface providing call handling (see fig. 6, step 127).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Still regarding claim 27, Boys teaches all limitations set forth in claim 26.

However, Boys fails to teach that the steps of:

- d) activating the user interface to display contact details, including a URL address associated with the selected contact; and
- e) selecting the URL address before activating the user interface providing call handling to connect to the URL, as specified in the claim.

6. Claims 21-25, 29-38, and 40 are allowed.

Regarding claim 21, the closest prior art of record is: Boys (US 6,314,094). Boys teaches a method of controlling a mobile telecommunications device including a memory for storing a list of contacts each having an e-mail address field for storing an associated e-mail address therewith and a user interface providing call handling, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) accessing the list of contacts stored in the memory;
- b) selecting a contact from the list;
- d) composing an e-mail message. However, Boys fails to teach:
 - c) accessing a screen for composing an e-mail message having a recipient address field by activating the user interface providing call handling, wherein activation of the user interface providing call handling also enters the e-mail address of the selected contact in the recipient address field; and
 - e) activating the user interface providing call handling to send the message to the address entered in the recipient address field.

Regarding claim 23, the closest prior art of record is: Kamada (US 6,192,258). Kamada teaches a method of controlling a mobile telecommunications device, including a memory for storing a list of contacts each having a telephone number field for storing an associated telephone number therewith and a user interface providing call handling, the method including the steps of:

- a) accessing the list of contacts stored in the memory;
- b) selecting a contact from the list;

c) activating the user interface providing call handling to access a screen for composing a text message having a field for entry of at least one of a recipients telephone number and name, wherein activation of the user interface providing call handling also enters at least one of a selected telephone number and name of the selected contact in at least one of the telephone number and name field;

d) composing a text message. However, Kamada fails to teach:

c) activating the user interface providing call handling to access a screen for composing a text message having a field for entry of at least one of a recipients telephone number and name, wherein activation of the user interface providing call handling also enters at least one of a selected telephone number and name of the selected contact in at least one of the telephone number and name field; and

e) activating the user interface providing call handling to send the message to at least one of the selected telephone number and name in at least one of the telephone number and name field.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any


extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, VIVIAN C CHIN can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dao *md*
Art Unit 2682
April 29, 2005


VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
5/2/05